Case 3:22-cv-02570-C-BT Document 3 Filed 11/15/22 Page 1 of 15 PageID 5



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT OF TEXAS

JENNIFER SANFORD,) Plaintiff,)	2022 NOV 15 AM 10: 10	
	Case No.	DEPUTY CLERK MS
v.) EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.,) EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES LLC, and) CAPITAL ONE USA, NA.) Defendants.)		R JURYTRIAL 2CV2570-C

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES Plaintiff, Jennifer Sanford, and for my Complaint against Defendants, Experian, Equifax, and Capital One and alleges as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff, JENNIFER SANFORD, a Texas resident, brings this action under Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, et seq. (the "FCRA") and Texas Business and Commerce Code § 20.01 et seq., against Defendants, Experian Information Solutions, Inc. ("Experian"), Equifax Information Services LLC ("Equifax"), and Capital One USA, NA. ("Capital One") for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 USC § 1681 et seq. (FCRA) and independent violations of Texas Business Commerce Code Section 20.06.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. Further, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court exercise supplemental jurisdiction over all non-federal claims as this set of allegations and claims constitute a single action and should be heard in the same cause. 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

- 3. The Plaintiff is a natural personal and legal resident of Irving, Texas. Defendants conduct business in the state of Texas; therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the nonresident Defendants because the Defendants purposefully availed themselves of the privileges and benefits of conducting business in Texas by:
 - (a) Engaging in business in Texas by contracting with Texas residents, with said contracts to be performed in Texas, including but not limited to credit information providers;
 - (b) Committing torts in whole or in part in this state against Plaintiff; and
 - (c) Recruiting Texas residents, both directly and through an intermediary located in this state, for employment in this state.
- 5. Venue is proper before this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) as the Defendants conduct business in this district, communications and substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this district.

III. PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff, Jennifer Sanford, is a consumer as defined by 15 U.S.C § 1681a(c) and Texas Business and Commerce Code 20.01(2).
- Plaintiff is an individual. Last 4 digits of SSN for Jennifer Sanford are 0876. Last 4 of Driver's licenses are 3644. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Texas.
- Defendant, Experian is a "consumer reporting agency" defined by 15 USC § 1681a(f) and Texas Business and Commerce Code 20.01(5).
- 9. Experian Information Solutions, Inc., Inc. d/b/a Experian is a foreign for-profit corporation doing business at all relevant times in Texas that may be served with process by serving its registered agent for service of process C T Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, TX 75201 USA, which service is hereby requested.

- 10. Defendant, Equifax is a "consumer reporting agency" defined by 15 USC § 1681a(f) and Texas Business and Commerce Code 20.01(5).
- 11. Equifax Information Services, LLC is a foreign limited liability company doing business at all relevant times in Texas that may be served with process by serving its registered agent for service of process Corporation Service Company, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, TX 78701-3218 USA, which service is hereby requested.
- 12. Defendants Experian and Equifax are collectively referred to as "CRAs".
- 13. Defendant, Capital One is a "person" defined by 15 USC § 1681a(b).
- 14. Capital One USA, NA. is a foreign company doing business at all relevant times in Texas that may be served with process by serving its corporate address for service of process at 1680 Capital One Drive, Mc Lean, VA 22102-3407 USA, which service is hereby requested.

IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 15. The Plaintiff checked her credit report and observed inaccurate and incomplete information reporting on her Experian and Equifax reports in regard to multiple accounts seeking account-level documentation such as applications, complete accounting, payment history, and notifications to substantiate the late payments to no avail.
- 16. On July 29, 2022, Plaintiff requested information from Capital One, via certified mail tracking #7018 2290 0001 0388 6726, in order to conduct her own investigation.
- 17. Capital One received the letter on or about August 9, 2022.
- 18. On July 29, 2022, Plaintiff disputed the completeness and accuracy of the accounts with Experian, via certified mail tracking #7018 2290 0001 0388 6764.
- 19. Experian received the letter on or about August 8, 2022.
- 20. Plaintiff disputed the completeness and accuracy once again of the following accounts, Capital One account #5178505XXXX, #400344XXXX, and #517805XXXX, Goodyear Tire/CBNA account #603551XXXX, and PNC Bank NA account #330200XXXX.

- 21. Experian completed its investigation on or about September 8, 2022, and notified the client via email of the dispute results.
- 22. On July 29, 2022, Plaintiff disputed the completeness and accuracy of the accounts with Equifax, via certified mail tracking #7018 2290 0001 0388 6771.
- 23. Equifax received the letter on or about August 1, 2022, and uploaded the dispute letter to their system on or about August 4, 2022.
- 24. Equifax completed its investigation on or about August 20, 2022.
- 25. Experian posted an article on January 17, 2020, written by John Ulzheimer about how long information remains on the credit profile found online at:

 https://www.experian.com/blogs/ask-experian/how-long-does-it-take-information-to-come-off-your-report/
- 26. In the article, it was stated, "Charge-offs, accounts in collections, repossessions, foreclosures, and settlements all indicate that you've defaulted on an account. In every one of these scenarios, the credit reporting agencies are allowed to report them for no longer than seven years from the original delinquency date that led to their default."
- 27. Equifax posted a similar article in their Knowledge Center found at: https://www.equifax.com/personal/education/credit/report/how-long-does-information-stay-on-credit-report/
- 28. In their article, they stated, "Collection or charged-off accounts: If you have a late payment and don't pay the past-due balance, the account could eventually be charged off by the original lender and assigned to a collection agency. If that happens, the entire collection account would be removed seven years from the date of your first missed payment that led to the collection or charge-off status. If you pay the collection account before the seven-year period is up, it can remain on your Equifax credit report, but the account may have less of an impact on your Equifax credit score."

29. An article published by the CFPB, confirmed the 7 years that a negative item of information can be reported.

Results of Capital One's Information Request

- 30. For account ending in 4920 Capital One stated in their correspondence dated August 15, 2022, that "...they correctly reported the first date (Plaintiff) account become delinquent as January 21, 2022."
- 31. For account ending in 4636 Capital One stated in their correspondence dated August 15, 2022, that "...they correctly reported the first date (Plaintiff) account become delinquent as January 18, 2022."
- 32. For account ending in 7702 Capital One stated in their correspondence dated August 15, 2022, that "...they correctly reported the first date (Plaintiff) account became delinquent Aas November 9, 2021."
- 33. Upon information and belief, Capital One did not conduct a reasonable investigation under the Fair Credit Reporting Act after receiving disputes from Plaintiff. Capital One verified inaccurate and incomplete information as being correct with the CRAs.

Results of Goodyear Tire/CBNA's Information Request

34. For account ending in 8091 Goodyear Tire/ CBNA stated in their correspondence dated June 23, 2022, that "We show the first date of delinquency for this debt on 07-26-21."

Results of Experian's Reinvestigation

- 35. On or about September 8, 2022, Experian sent the dispute results via email to Plaintiff's email.
- 36. For Capital One account starting with 400344 and ending in 4636 Experian updated the "On Record Until Sep 2028", this account became delinquent on January 21, 2022.
- 37. For Capital One account starting with 517805 and ending in 7702 Experian updated the "On Record Until Jul 2028", this account became delinquent on November 9, 2021.

- 38. For Capital One account starting with 517805 and ending in 4920 Experian updated the "On Record Until Sep 2028", this account became delinquent on January 18, 2022.
- 39. Experian stated that Goodyear Tire/ CBNA certified to them that the information is accurate and will not change as a result of processing my dispute.
- 40. Experian did not provide any results for the PNC Bank NA account.
- 41. The "On Record Until" date is supposed to derive from the original delinquency date that led to their default. Given that information all of the "On Record Until" dates are incorrect. Accordingly, Experian should have reported the actual Date of First Delinquency as required by Metro 2 and FCRA, in order to follow reasonable procedures.
- 42. Despite Plaintiff's dispute, upon information and belief, the derogatory inaccurate information regarding the alleged accounts are still being reported by Defendant on Plaintiff's credit report.
- 43. Upon information and belief, Experian did not conduct a reasonable investigation under the Fair Credit Reporting Act after receiving disputes from Plaintiff.

Results of Equifax's Reinvestigation

- 44. On November 7, 2022, Plaintiff called Equifax to get the results of the dispute submitted.

 The representative confirmed when the dispute was received and the results of the reinvestigation.
- 45. For Capital One account ending in 4920 the date of first delinquency is reported as January 1, 2022. The last payment due date is reporting incorrectly as well.
- 46. For Capital One account ending in 4636 the date of first delinquency is reported as January 1, 2022. The last payment due date is reporting incorrectly as well.
- 47. For Capital One account ending in 7702 the date of first delinquency is reported as November 1, 2021. The last payment due date is reporting incorrectly as well.

- 48. Equifax reported the date of first delinquency for the Goodyear Tire/ CBNA as July 1, 2021.

 The last payment due date is reporting incorrectly as well.
- 49. Equifax reported the date of first delinquency for the PNC Bank NA account as Dec 1, 2017.
 The last payment due date is reporting incorrectly as well.
- 50. Equifax is systematically reporting all the dates of first delinquency as the first of any given month, regardless of the actual date, which is highly inaccurate. It is impossible for any of Plaintiff's dates of first delinquency to be on the first of any month because Plaintiff had absolutely no payment due dates on the first.
- 51. Despite Plaintiff's dispute, upon information and belief, the derogatory inaccurate information regarding the alleged accounts are still being reported by Defendant on Plaintiff's credit report.
- 52. Upon information and belief, Equifax did not conduct a reasonable investigation under the Fair Credit Reporting Act after receiving disputes from Plaintiff.

Plaintiff's Damages As A Result of Defendant's Actions

- 53. As a result, Plaintiff's creditworthiness is negatively impacted because the credit reporting is materially misleading and is being interpreted incorrectly.
- 54. The consumer report at issue is a written communication of information concerning Plaintiff's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for credit to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(1) of the FCRA.
- 55. A lower credit score hurts the Plaintiff's creditworthiness because lenders have different tiers of risk and consumers with lower credit scores will often be placed into a lower tier. This can cause the consumer, like the Plaintiff, to be denied credit or to receive credit at a more expensive cost.

- 56. Plaintiff's credit report was disseminated multiple times, resulting in Plaintiff being denied credit by Affirm on or about October 2, 2022.
- 57. This reporting is materially misleading and the Plaintiff has been forced to deal with aggravation, emotional distress, mental anguish, loss of credit, humiliation, and embarrassment as a result of Defendant's actions and inactions.
- 58. At all times pertinent hereto, Capital One and the CRAs conduct was willful and carried out in reckless disregard for a consumer's right as set forth under the Fair Credit Reporting Act.

V. CRA's VIOLATIONS OF 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b)

- 59. Plaintiff reasserts each of the preceding paragraphs as if set forth here in their entirety.
- 60. Section 1681e(b) of the FCRA requires that "Whenever a consumer reporting agency prepares a consumer report it shall follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates."
- 61. Were the CRAs to follow procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the credit report it prepares concerning Plaintiff, it would have deleted or modified the inaccurate information being reported on Plaintiff's credit report.
- 62. As a direct and proximate result of CRA's willful, malicious, reckless, wanton, and or negligent refusal to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy as mandated by the FCRA, Plaintiff has been harmed, as explained above.

VI. CRA's VIOLATIONS OF 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)

- 63. Plaintiff reasserts each of the preceding paragraphs as if set forth here in their entirety.
- 64. Experian violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1) by failing to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation to determine whether the disputed information is inaccurate and record the status of the disputed information or delete the item from Plaintiff's credit files.

- 65. Experian conducted either no investigation or failed to conduct a reasonable investigation violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A) and renders Experian liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages and cost under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. Experian's actions were negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recovery under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o as well.
- 66. Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1) by failing to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation to determine whether the disputed information is inaccurate and record the status of the disputed information or delete the item from Plaintiff's credit files.
- 67. Equifax conducted either no investigation or failed to conduct a reasonable investigation violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A) and renders Equifax liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages and costs under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. Equifax's actions were negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recovery under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o as well.
- 68. As a direct and proximate result of CRA's willful, malicious, reckless, wanton, and or negligent refusal to conduct a reasonable investigation as mandated by the FCRA, Plaintiff has been harmed, as explained above.

VII. CRA's VIOLATIONS OF 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A)

- 69. Plaintiff reasserts each of the preceding paragraphs as if set forth here in their entirety.
- 70. Experian violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A) on multiple occasions by failing to provide third parties Capital One, Goodyear Tire/CBNA, and PNC Bank with all relevant information regarding the disputes.
- 71. Experian's actions violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A) and render Experian liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages and costs under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. Experian's actions were negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recovery under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o as well.
- 72. Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A) on multiple occasions by failing to provide third parties Capital One, Goodyear Tire/CBNA, and PNC Bank with all relevant information regarding the disputes.

- 73. Equifax's actions violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A) and render Equifax liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages and costs under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. Equifax's actions were negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recovery under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o as well.
- 74. As a direct and proximate result of CRA's willful, malicious, reckless, wanton, and or negligent refusal to provide third parties with all relevant information as mandated by the FCRA, Plaintiff has been harmed, as explained above.

VIII. CRA's VIOLATIONS OF 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(4)

- 75. Plaintiff reasserts each of the preceding paragraphs as if set forth here in their entirety.
- 76. Experian violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(4) on multiple occasions by failing to review and consider all relevant information submitted by Plaintiff.
- 77. Experian's actions violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(4) and render Experian liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages and costs under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. Experian's actions were negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recovery under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o as well.
- 78. Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(4) on multiple occasions by failing to review and consider all relevant information submitted by Plaintiff.
- 79. Equifax's actions violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(4) and render Equifax liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages and costs under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. Equifax's actions were negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recovery under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o as well.
- 80. As a direct and proximate result of CRA's willful, malicious, reckless, wanton, and or negligence, they failed to review and consider all relevant information as mandated by the FCRA, Plaintiff has been harmed, as explained above.

IX. CRA's VIOLATIONS OF 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(A)

81. Plaintiff reasserts each of the preceding paragraphs as if set forth here in their entirety.

- 82. Experian violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(A) on multiple occasions by failing to promptly delete the disputed inaccurate items of information from the Plaintiff's credit file or modify the items of information upon an accurate reinvestigation.
- 83. After conducting no investigation or failing to conduct a reasonable investigation, Experian's actions violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(A) and renders Experian liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages and costs under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. Experian's actions were negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recovery under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o as well.
- 84. Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(A) on multiple occasions by failing to promptly delete the disputed inaccurate items of information from the Plaintiff's credit file or modify the items of information upon an accurate reinvestigation.
- 85. After conducting no investigation or failing to conduct a reasonable investigation, Equifax's actions violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(A) and renders Equifax liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages and cost under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. Equifax's actions were negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recovery under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o as well.
- 86. As a direct and proximate result of CRA's willful, malicious, reckless, wanton, and or negligence, to delete or modify the information as mandated by the FCRA, Plaintiff has been harmed, as explained above.

X. CAPITAL ONE VIOLATIONS OF 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)

- 87. Plaintiff reasserts each of the preceding paragraphs as if set forth here in their entirety.
- 88. Furnishers of credit information have a duty under the FCRA to investigate disputes from consumers as to the accuracy of information being reported.
- 89. Plaintiff submitted a written dispute to Experian and Equifax disputing the completeness and accuracy of the account being reported by Capital One.
- 90. The Furnisher was obligated, pursuant to section 1681s-2(b) of the FCRA to conduct a complete and thorough investigation with respect to the Plaintiff's dispute.

- 91. The Furnisher failed to reasonably investigate Plaintiff's dispute. Indeed, the Furnisher knew the correct date of first delinquency for each account but continued to report inaccurate information regardless.
- 92. After conducting no investigation or failing to conduct a reasonable investigation, Capital One's actions violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) and renders Capital One liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages and cost under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. Capital One's actions were negligent, entitling Plaintiff to recovery under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o as well.
- 93. As a direct and proximate result of Capital One's willful, malicious, reckless, wanton, and or negligence, to delete or modify the information as mandated by the FCRA, Plaintiff has been harmed, as explained above.

XI. TEXAS BUSINESS & COMMERCE CODE 20.01 ET. SEQ. CAUSES OF ACTION

- 94. Plaintiff reasserts each of the preceding paragraphs as if set forth here in their entirety.
- 95. Experian and Equifax is a "consumer reporting agency" as defined by Texas Business and Commerce Code 20.01(5).
- 96. Defendants Experian and Equifax are collectively referred to as "CRAs".
- 97. Experian and Equifax failed to (a) promptly delete the information, (b) revise Plaintiff's credit files and (c) provide a revised consumer report to Plaintiff and to each person who requested the consumer reports within the preceding six months.
- 98. Further, the CRAs reported the false inaccurate information with malice or willful intent to injure or, alternatively, negligently, in subsequent reports.
- 99. Additionally, the CRAs failed to have reasonable procedures to assure that previously reported inaccurate information in Plaintiff's file is corrected in a prompt and timely fashion.
- 100. Each one of these acts and omissions constituted a separate and independent violation of Texas Business and Commerce Code Section 20.06 that damaged Plaintiff and renders Experian and Equifax liable pursuant to Section 20.

- 101. CRA's willful violations of Texas Business and Commerce Code Chapter 20 make them liable to Plaintiff, for each violation, for (a) the greater of three times the amount of actual damage to Plaintiff or \$1,000 per violation, and (c) costs.
- 102. Alternatively, CRA's negligent violations of Texas Business and Commerce Code Chapter 20 make them liable to Plaintiff, for each violation, for (a) the greater of three times the amount of actual damage to Plaintiff or \$500 per violation, and (c) costs.

XII. PLAINTIFF'S EXEMPLARY DAMAGES CLAIM

- 103. Plaintiff reasserts each of the preceding paragraphs as if set forth here in their entirety.
- 104. Plaintiff's injuries resulted from the CRA's gross negligence, malice, or actual fraud, which entitles Plaintiff to recover exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code § 41.003(a).
- 105. In particular, the CRA's acts or omissions, when viewed objectively from the Defendants' standpoint at the time of the conduct, involved an extreme degree of risk, considering the probability and magnitude of the potential harm to Plaintiff. Defendants were subjectively aware of the risk involved but nevertheless proceeded, with conscious indifference to the rights, safety, or welfare of Plaintiff.

XIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jennifer Sanford, respectfully request that judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff against the Defendants for:

- Actual damages, statutory damages, punitive damages; cost and fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § § 1681n and 1681o;
- Judgment for the greater of three times the amount of actual damage to Plaintiff or \$1,000
 per violation of Texas Business and Commerce Code Section 20.01 et. seq., and costs
 because Defendant willfully violated Section 20.01 et. seq.;

Case 3:22-cv-02570-C-BT Document 3 Filed 11/15/22 Page 14 of 15 PageID 18

3. Judgment for the greater of three times the amount of actual damage to Plaintiff or \$500 per

violation of Texas Business and Commerce Code Section 20.01 et. seq., and costs because

Defendant negligently violated Section 20.01 et. seq;

4. Judgment for exemplary damages under Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code § 41.003(a);

5. An order directing Defendant immediately delete all of the inaccurate information from

Plaintiff's credit file;

6. Award to Plaintiff of such other and further relief as deemed just and proper.

XIV. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands trial by jury in this

action of all issues so triable.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: November 7, 2022

/s/ Jennifer Sanford Jennifer Sanford, *Plaintiff* 6535 Deseo Apt. 3091 Irving, Texas 75039 T: 219.916.9311

E: snfrd.jnnfr@gmail.com

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLY

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE MAG. JUDGE